

Hamess Dickey & Pierce PLC Attorneys and Counselors 5445 Corporate Drive, Sulle 200 Troy, Michigan 48098-2683 Phone: 248-641-1600 Fax: 248-641-0270 Metropolitar: Detroit St Louis Washington, DC

DATE:	September 3, 2010 No. of PAGES (INCLUDING THIS PAGE): 2									
FOR: COMPANY: FAX NO.:	Examiner Ben C. Wang USPTO 571-270-2240	PHONE: 5	71-270-1240	ORIGINAL WILL FOLLOW BY: REGULAR MAIL OVERNIGHT MAIL COURIER WILL NOT FOLLOW						
FROM:	Michael R. Nye	Ph: 248-341-	1330							
Please let us know by phone or fax if you do not receive any of these pages.										
COMMENTS: Re: App. No. 10/701,143 Attached please find an Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form regarding the above-referenced Application.										
Thank you for your assistance.										

NOTICE

The information contained in this fax transmission is intended only for the Individual to whom or entity to which it is addressed. It may also contain privileged, confidential, attorney work product or trade secret information which is protected by law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the addressee, the reader is hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the address above via the U.S. Postal Service. We will reimburse you for any reasonable expense (including postage) for the return of the original message.

EY PNO. 3305 (05-c). 2

Approved for use through xx/xx/xxxx OMB 0651-00313
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form										
Application No.: 10/	701,143		First Nar	ned Applica	int: Stev	en W. Holland 2 nd NF after				
Examiner: <u>Ben C. Wa</u>	<u>na</u> Art	Unit:	<u>2192</u>	Status of	Application:	Panel Dec				
Tentative Participants: Michael R. Nye (1) Reg. No. 62,126		(2)								
Proposed Date of Inter	view: <u>9/7</u>	/2010	Prop	osed Time	3:00	(□AM ⊠PM)				
Type of Interview Requested: (1) ⊠ Telephonic (2) □ Personal (3) □ Video Conference Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: □ YES ☑ NO										
If yes, provide brief description:										
Issues To Be Discussed										
Issue (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prio Art	г	Discuss	ed Agree	d Not Agreed				
(1) Rejection	<u>Cl. 22</u>	Knig	ht and Kace	<u>1</u>						
☐ Continuation Sheet Attached										
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:										
Applicant's Representative would like to discuss the final two paragraphs of Claim 22 with respect to the Knight and Kacel references. In addition, Applicant's Representative would like to discuss a potential additional limitation, in which the multiple vehicle processors each provide version information to the interface processor indicating a current software version resident on the respective vehicle processor.										
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on										
NOTE: This torm should be completed by application and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01) This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.										
			To and							